



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_46476
Title of the Manuscript:	Economics of Cocoyam marketing in Anambra Agricultural Zone of Anambra State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Table 1: Monthly estimates of marketing costs and returns; The Total Variable Costs in retailer's column (2,428,800) is incorrect. The correct result is 2,433,600.00</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Total Cost (TC) = TVC + TFC = 2,433,600 + 32,000 = 2,465,600 <p>Everything else in this paper is in good order.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Put the objectives of the study first then research questions 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Husein Mohamed Cirbad
Department, University & Country	Annamlai University, India