SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_46476
Title of the Manuscript:	Economics of Cocoyam marketing in Anambra Agricultural Zone of Anambra State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agree highlight that part in the ma
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract	his/her feedback here)
Compusory ne vision comments	Please present your work with this format and remove irrelevancies there.	
	i)Title of the work	
	ii)Objectives of the study	
	iii)Sample size and sampling procedure iv)Method of data collection	
	v)Method of Data analysis vi)Results and Discussion	
	vii) Recommendations	
	NLB; Recast the recommendation in your abstract. Please, check your grammar.	
	Keyword; Economics, Cocoyam, marketing, Anambra Agricultural Zone of Anambra	
	State, Nigeria	
	INTRODUCTION	
	Lines 62; Is that bought or brought	
	Lines 91 – 94 should placed before Line 87.	
	NIB; Many grammatical problems.	
	 Line 95 ;This not methodology but MATERIALS AND METHODS Lines 97 and 98 are the only statements that are correct here. I think I had worked in this terrain as extension officer with Otuocha as my abode. Based on the above assertion, I have the following comments I).You left Anambra Agricultural Zone of Anambra State which is one of the Agricultural Zones in Anambra State (Onitsha, Awka and Aguata) to discuss Anambra State. No it cannot be 2)Discuss the zone under the following; i) Latitude and Longitude location of the zone, the population of the area and land area,. ii)The local governments Areas in the zone; Oyi, Anambra East and West, Ayamelum etc iii) The boundaries of the zone iv) The no. of blocks and circles in the zone. vi) The major markets in the Local Government Areas or blocks vii) The farming activities and off farm income engaged by the inhabitants. viii) Please you could consult the zonal manager of zone (Mr Nnalue, Gilbert) for these information. He is my personal friend. Line 111 = Should be Sampling procedure and Sample size not Data collection as you said, since you select respondents before you collect data from them. Lines 130 – 134; Your selection procedures as in lines are not clear. Please, throw more light on the use of Snow Ball Method (SBM). You used 60 respondents (40 retailers and 20 wholesalers), don't you think you will introduce bias by having these differentials. Lines 115 – 117= Move them out to sampling procedure and sample size Lines 135 – 155; Data Analysis; Please put the statistical tools equations in model form and number them. Results and Discussion; Lines 157 – 178; You needed reorganizations and recasting of 	

greed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

	the findings of results and discussion Lines 179 and 180; pie chart. Your information on the pie chart should include the cocoyam sourced from outside the state, since your discussion talked about that. Lines 194 – 197; Please recast the statement. Line 213; Please number the equation accordingly Line 214; What is the unit of measurement of the variable Lines 217 – 225; Check your grammar. Lines 228- 230; You only presented the result without discussing them. Why?. Lines 228- 230; You only presented the result without discussed and Table 2 changed to Table 3 Lines 237 – 253= Should be the last objective to be discussed and Table 2 changed to Table 2 Lines 238 – 241; What are the sources of the information. NLB; Please report your findings in the past tense or present continuous tense Lines 273 – 278= Conclusion Your conclusion is faulty. It should be done objective by objective and in precise form. Recommendation Your recommendations were not sharp and precise. Please recast them and add more recommendations based on your findings. Please delete lines 287 – 291, not part of this study. References Lines 292 - 337= . Your referencing is never in consistent to the journal	
	methodology (as per arrangement of reference, date of publication, placements of initials of authors and others). Please consult the published work or guideline to that effect.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ume, Smiles. I
Department, University & Country	Federal College of Agriculture, Nigeria

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her