



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_46823
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficiency of Maize Production among Smallholder Farmers in Southwest, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Good paper that very much fits the publication interests of AJAEES. However the following corrections should be integrated before the paper is considered for publication:</b></p> <p><b>First and foremost, the background of the study is shallow and incoherent. It focuses too much on the history of maize rather than laying emphasis on the subject matter of the study which looks at the problems faced by smallholder farmers in the production of maize. Equally, only four (04) research works were cited in the background which is too small considering that the background is the gateway into the paper. More effort should therefore be put into making the background more coherent as well as citing more research works that fall in line with the subject matter of the study.</b></p> <p><b>Secondly, at the level of methodology, the latitudinal and longitudinal location of the study area should be clearly stated and if possible the map of the study area should be inserted in order to permit the reader visualize the area under study. Equally, the statistical software used for data analysis should be imperatively stated in order to permit the reader/other researchers know if the software used is appropriate for the MLE used in the study.</b></p> <p><b>Last but not the least, more research works should be sought for and used in the discussion of the results/findings of the study in order to give the study more scientific robustness and technical quality.</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Avoid the use of non-conventional abbreviations at the level of the abstract. The abbreviation MLE should be written in full.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	A good research study that deserves to be published by AJAEES. However, the comments above should be taken in account before the paper is considered for publication.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nyong Princely Awazi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Dschang, Cameroon</b>