#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_47108
Title of the Manuscript:	How do crop adaptation reduce impact of drought and mitigate food insecurity in Bangladesh? A case study on adoption of BUdhan1 rice variety
Type of the Article	

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul> <li>The conclusion must be fine-tuned as highlighted in the main text.</li> <li>The figured in the pie chart on page 7 should be expressed in percentage (for instance, 28.74%, 9.25%)</li> <li>Isolated cases of grammatical errors and spacing should be corrected.</li> </ul>	
Minor REVISION comments	Minor errors have been highlighted in yellow and proposed changes in red	
Optional/General comments	<ul> <li>It may be necessary to review the title of the paper because the whole text is about adoption of a crop variety and not much on adaptation. In other words, there is a weak linkage between the text and the title.</li> <li>Improve section 3.6 on page 9 as highlighted</li> <li>Table 9 may not be necessary the results can be presented in a statement form in the main text.</li> <li>In Table 2, the basis/parameters for scoring/assessing the knowledge level can be expressed in a statement form rather than in question form which keeps the results in a raw form (appear as if, it is still in data form). For example, ability to name two drought tolerant varies</li> <li>Otherwise, it is a good paper based on good methodology.</li> </ul>	

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Adonia K, K. Bintoora
Department, University & Country	Nkumba University, Uganda

Approved by: CEO Created by: EA Checked by: ME Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)