



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_47268
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Farm Mechanization on Income in Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Provide conclusions and recommendations in a separate section after the Results and Discussion.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. All references cited in the text should be included in the list of references at the end of the paper. In particular, the following citations should have corresponding and appropriate entries in the reference list: Bhattacharya 1965 (cited in page 1); Aurangzeb et al. 2007 (cited twice page 3); Mahrouf and Rafeek 2002 (cited page 3); Zhizhang and Hanlin 2014 (cited in page 3); Balishter and others 1991 (cited in page 3 and should be Balishter et al. 1991); Rai and Bezbaruah 2002 (cited in page 3); and Muhammad, Sivaswami and Jayan 1999 (cited in page 4).</p> <p>2. The list of references (page 11) should be revised thoroughly. Only those references that are actually cited in the main text of the paper should be included in the list. All the five references listed were not cited in the text, except if "Aijrangzeb 2004" is the same as "Aurangzeb et al. 2007", if "Roy and Bezbaruah 2002" is the same as "Rai and Bezbaruah 2002", and if "Zhizhang and Hanlin 2011" is the same as "Zhizhang and Hanlin 2014", and in which cases, necessary corrections should be done either in the citation or in the reference list or both.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:



SDI Review Form 1.6

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Romer C. Castillo</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Batangas State University, Philippines</i>