



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_47510
Title of the Manuscript:	WORK LIFE QUALITY OF AGRICULTURAL PROFESSIONALS IN COMMERCIAL BANKS OF KERALA
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Mention the statistical analysis method. Eg spss 2x2x2	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Commercial banks sound like ambiguous term. Can simply write banks in Kerala. Can include the questionnaire asked from the bankers interviewed. Eg based on which questions was work life decided. Was the question how many hours do you spend with your kids.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Good language. Good data presentation.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shanmathy Muthuvel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Post graduate of Central Avian Research Institute, India</b>