



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_48640 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Agricultural Sector Expenditure on Nigerian Economy Growth |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove all abbreviations from the abstract. 2. Provide the structure or organisation of the study 3. No literature review section. It must be added. 4. Expand the scope of the study to 2017 or 2018. The 2015 is too far back a period. Data are available on the variables you employed from 1981 to 2018. Kindly access the CBN statistical bulletin. 5. Re-estimation of the models due to the need to update the study's observations. 6. Rewrite the entire work by meticulously updating citations to 2018 or even 2019. 7. No theoretical framework it must be added. 8. The model specification does is not linked to previous study 9. The findings of the study does not relate to any previous findings either by corroborating or disagreeing. 10. Intent citation very poor 11. No recent studies cited. Despite the flurry of literature on the subject matter. 12. No justification for the study. 13. Research problems not well articulated 14. The VECM test is incomplete and requires AR inverse root, variance decomposition and impulse response test. <p>I candidly admonish the author to overhaul the entire work. Read relevant articles and implement all recommendations.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Stanley Emife Nwani |
| Department, University & Country | Lagos State University, Nigeria |