



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_46015
Title of the Manuscript:	Culture medium based on tomato leaves for abundant production of conidia from Septoria lycopersici
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper is important because it provides information for the multiplication of spores of Septoria lycopersici, fundamental for the research on methods of control of this fungic agent in tomato The objective of work is clear and precise The materials and methods are poorly developed does not present the experimental design nor the methodology for the analysis of the results The results are clearly presented as well as the statistical analysis used. Materials and methods should be included in the number of repetitions per treatment and analysis methodology. There is no discussion of results and the conclusions are extremely poor	
Minor REVISION comments	The research generated important information which is poorly developed in the paper. the discussion of the presented results is missing and the conclusions are extended. The bibliographic review is very poor	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Martín María Silva Rossi
Department, University & Country	Argentina