



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJHR_47083
Title of the Manuscript:	Coffee Production Challenges and Opportunities in Tanzania: The Case of Coffee Farmers in Iwindi, Msia and Lwati villages in Mbeya Region
Type of the Article	Review Paper

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This is an interesting paper which should be capable of being published in due course. However, before that can happen, some work is required. The principal issue lies in the nature of the research: currently, the paper reads like it is a form of market research or a consultancy report. At the very least, this will require a literature review which highlights the gaps in knowledge that currently exist and then a discussion section which summarises the principal findings with a view to showing what new knowledge has been generated. This process might also help in answering the specific research objectives, which are currently not met.</p> <p>More details about the data gathering procedure would be helpful.</p> <p>The conclusion should incorporate the research limitations and suggestions for future research.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>John Walsh</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>RMIT University, Vietnam</b>