SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_46108
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF HEAVY METALS CONCENTRATION IN SWAMPY AGRICULTURAL SOIL OF NASARAWA WEST NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
	ABSTRACT -English mistakes in the concluding part. INTRODUCTION -1 st sentence which is that parent material? -I expected knowledge of x-ray fluorescence spectrometry be shown. Also how quantitative analysis was reached. I wouldn't mind if it is taken if convenient to material and Method part.	
	 -The aim/objective of the study should feature at the end of the introduction part. -In general this section needs to be improved. 	
	 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Several sentences say"WHO standards limits" without references. Pg. 4,5,6 and 8. I would find the paper testy if in this section literature comparison is done between other authors and the present results. Table 2 be placed close to where cited at pg. 5 or 6. 	
	REFERENCES There should be consistency in the writing of References eg. Author (initial or NOT),Vol. pg (see format) throughout.	
Optional/General comments	The paper may be published after the said corrections	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yusuf Ismail Ally Koleleni
Department, University & Country	University of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)