



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_46274
Title of the Manuscript:	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF GENDER ON SUPERVISOR SUBORDINATE RELATIONSHIP
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Overall Article is Good Author analysing the Gender Relation between Supervisor and Subordinate using Statistical tools. With best of my knowledge, some general points find out and note down below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Author followed universal system while preparing the article. • Author used various statistical tools for analysing the above problem • Hence, Research Result showing accurate values • Article design and analysing pattern is very good • All recommendations are coming from research study • Sufficient references are included • Title meaning is not been expressed properly. If possible, change this title as "Gender Relation between Supervisor and Subordinate using Statistical tools" • In Age component (Table-2), the first one has to change 20 to 30. Because, below 30 also comes infant child also who are unable to work. And this age group taken major part i.e. 33%. So, please check it the figures once again. <p>Finally, this article is graded Good.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	SrinivasaRao Kasisomayajula
Department, University & Country	Madanapalle Institute of Technology & Science, India