



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJARR_47128 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ASSESSMENT OF RAINFALL SCENARIO IN DETERMINING FLOOD AT TERENGGANU WATERSHED MALAYSIA |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | SWAT is supposed to be in bracket in the abstract in the cases, where it was mentioned. "The data collection is carried", change is to was. It appears that figures 4 to 6 stands for a forecast, but not properly done. The purpose of having a straight line equation needs to be understood, how were the values on the straight lines obtained? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What x and y stand for was not explained. The line drawn across the graph in each case was manually done. There are software that can handle this. Units and symbols should be typed with equation editor and not directly from key board. Superscripts should be written properly, example of such is "295.6 m ³ /s". | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | Time and energy was invested into this study, and aimed at contributing to knowledge. However, the authors should read the manuscript carefully and correct grammatical errors. Also, and other observations identified should be checked, so that the paper would actually be worthy of the effort. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Olumide Adesina |
| Department, University & Country | Olabisi Onabanjo University, Nigeria |