



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_47348
Title of the Manuscript:	JOB SATISFACTION AND ITS INFLUENCE ON TEACHERS' PERFORMANCE IN OSUN STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Having gone through this work, I want to congratulate the author for a job well done. However, the following comments should be considered for improvements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The keywords should be reduced. The organisation of the work should be included in the last paragraph of the introduction. The empirical review is okay but gaps in knowledge in one should have led to the second and the author should have been able to tell us what is still missing that needs to be included in his present study. The methodology is okay but I wonder where the last recommendation came from. If you have three findings, your recommendations should also be three in number. The work should be properly edited. For instance there is significant relationship Job satisfaction dimensions and teacher performance in Osun State, Nigeria should be revisited. 	
Minor REVISION comments	The reference format is okay and acceptable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.



SDI Review Form 1.6

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Dare Ojo Omonijo</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Olabisi Onabanjo University, Nigeria</i>