SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_47617
Title of the Manuscript:	CONCENTRATION OF COPPER, LEAD, AND ZINC IN DIFFERENT BRANDS OF CIGARETTE SOLD IN KEFFI MAIN MARKET OF NASARAWA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Authors are suggested to revise manuscript as per following suggestions.	
	Abstract	
	Line 8, Replace "metal" with "metals" and "brand" with "brands"	
	Line 19, replace "cigarettes" with "cigarette"	
	Line 20, replace "are" with "were"	
	Place and Duration	
	Mention about department/institute where samples were processed.	
	Methodology	
	Clearly mention the sample size. Conclusion	
	Add few points about prevention and control measures.	
	Add few keywords after abstract part.	
	Introduction	
	Introduction part is very brief, provide thorough literature review.	
	Line 28, Add "are" between "metalsfound"	
	Line 29-31, check it and revise.	
	In introduction part, authors have discussed about Cadmium as most toxic, but n discussed about the metals estimated in the study. Explain in detail about all the metals	
	(Cu, Pb, Zn) estimated in the study.	
	Discuss about smoking status of study area.	
	Discuss about previous reports of study area, if available.	
	Line 52, Replace "toxic metals" with "heavy metals"	
	Materials and methods	
	Add few points about study area. Clearly mention about the sample size.	
	Line 63, mentholated spirit or methylated spirit.	
	Discuss in brief about the protocol followed for elemental analysis. Line 61-63, "The samemixture." check and revise.	
	Results and Discussion	
	Line 71, Correct as "RESULTS AND DISCUSSION"	
	The result data are already presented in the table no.1, so briefly discuss the data.	
	Provide permissible limits of estimated metals in table no.1. Compare the data with releva	nt
	authorities' data.	
	Fig. 1 is not cited in the text. Check the data of fig. 1 and discuss in brief. Line 74, replace "cigarettes" with "cigarette"	
	Line 74, replace cigarettes with cigarette	
	Line 96, replace "cigarettes brand were" with "cigarette brands was"	
	Line 107, replace "are" with "is"	
	Line 110, replace "are" with "was"	
	Compare the results with few more previous reports.	
	Why have you selected this study? And what suggestions you want to give? Discuss in	
	detail What prevention and control measures can be taken to minimize the toxic effects? Discus	
	in detail	
	References	
	Please go through the journal guideline	es
	(http://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/about/submissions#authorGuidelines) ar	
	revise all the references. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the	
	reference list and vice versa (no. 21 reference is present in the reference list, but not cite	d

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

	in the text). Provide DOI no. or PUBMED ID, if available.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amit Gamit
Department, University & Country	Navsari Agricultural University, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)