

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_49249
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICACY OF NEBULISED TOBRAMYCIN IN CYSTIC FIBROSIS MANAGEMENT: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	The functional approach to evaluate lung function was quite poor: more conclusive results could have been obtained If the author has addressed the issues of FEV1/FVC, TLC (total lung capacity), RV (residual volume) and DLCO (diffusion). However the results of the study are to some extent interesting, Authors should include at least in discussion section this comment or similar regarding limitations of their lung function evaluation	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed win that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jorge Roig
Department, University & Country	Clinica Creu Blanca Barcelona, Spain

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her