



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_46344
Title of the Manuscript:	Diffuse Peritonitis Associated Intra Abdominal Barium Leak (An Abdominal Emergency Catastrophe Case)
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title of the paper should be modified to reflect the case (Omit the sub-heading) as misleading.) In the Abstract surgical treatment and outcome should be added for completeness. The conclusions at the end of the manuscript and in the Abstract should be based and reflect the actual case. In the Abstract, in the introduction, in the description of the case and in the discussion should be stressed that barium peritonitis is the consequence of perforation during barium enema examination. The legends of figures are hazy and should be rephrased. Figure 1 should include only the plain abdominal X-ray showing barium leakage on admission. The profile views do not add further information. Figure 2 should be omitted as it does not add any crucial information. Figure 4 should be cropped to show clearly the perforation site.</p> <p>Attention is needed for accuracy in terminology and language grammar. For example:</p> <p>above the anal port and barium covering whole intraabdominal organ (anal verge, peritoneal cavity)</p> <p>extravasation (leakage, there is no vessel)</p> <p>Routine hematological were within normal limits and also On biochemical examination showed decreased</p> <p>Disturbance of bowel wall tensile strength. However, in our patients, avoidance of pressure formation greater than that made by barium suspension column one meters, barium leak could increased morbidity Use "was" instead "is" in several points through the manuscript and several other errors throughout the manuscript especially the figure legends.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Anastasios J. Karayiannakis</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Democritus University of Thrace, Greece</i>