



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_46765
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary Supravesical hernia presented as direct inguinal hernia with an unusual internal opening –A case report.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please avoid using references in the conclusion on Abstract. Requested to use the elaborate terminology of TAPP in the first instance. Please mention how long the patient was followed up post repair. Experience of couple of few other researchers in the discussion part would be required as a meta-analysis. The conclusion part should be more precise with key messages for the readers.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Overall satisfactory & acceptable after the recommendations made are considered.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashrarur Rahman
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh