SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_46765
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary Supravesical hernia presented as direct inguinal hernia with an unusual internal opening –A case report.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	 Please mention if ultrasound was performed. If yes then what were the findings? What was the relation of the palpable inguinal swelling to the pubic tubercle on clinical examination? During TAPP meshplasty, where was the medial end of the mesh fixed? Did the mesh cover the internal opening? Which mesh was used? What was the size of the mesh? Was the mesh fixed with tackers? 	
Minor REVISION comments	 Please mention about the condition of the patient at the last follows up after discharge. Please revise the conclusion based upon the observations from the present case and not from literature review. 	
Optional/General comments	There are several grammatical mistakes throughout the manuscript which needs to be corrected. Some abbreviations such as SOPD have been used without describing the full form.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahul Gupta
Department, University & Country	India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)