



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_46986
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF PATTERN OF ANTIHYPERTENSIVE PRESCRIPTIONS AND ADHERENCE TO JNC-7 GUIDELINE IN NATIONAL HOSPITAL ABUJA-NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a retrospective study to assess the patterns of prescription and cost analysis of antihypertensive drugs recommended by JNC-7 guidelines. The abstract should include number of patients and their gender and age range and summarise all article. Remove the section of sample size calculation because it is not necessary and known for readers. Cite the P value in tables in results section. Clarify most commonly used drugs and their percent usage in fixed dose combination. Cite also the strength and limitations of the study. Furthermore add new section at end of discussion of future research directions and display novel strategies to improve adherence such as patient activation interventions such as financial incentives and telephone calls. Update references to include similar studies done in 2018 including in particular systematic reviews and meta-analysis.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Accept with major changes	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.



SDI Review Form 1.6

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Hassan A. S</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Port Said university, Egypt</i>