



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_46588
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the impact of the external audit in the work of health public institutions "Cases of the General Hospitals of Reference of Kabondo and Makiso/Kisangani of 2011 and 2014".
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The paper is relatively good although the data used were quite old. But it seems that there is a need for a major revision of the paper simply because the paragraphs and sentences were poorly written. The use of more appropriate English words should also be seriously considered. For a far better construction of sentences and paragraphs that will lead to better comprehension by the readers, an English expert should be consulted. Afterwards, this paper may be reconsidered for a thorough review.</p> <p>2. In the revision of the paper, the journal's guidelines for citation and referencing should be followed. Note also that all citations in the text should have corresponding listing in the References section and all that were listed in the References should have been mentioned in the text.</p> <p>3. The Methodology section should also include statements as regards the permission granted by concerned authorities on the clear identification of the subject hospitals in this paper. Otherwise, the anonymity of the hospitals should always be maintained.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>Permission on the identification of the subject hospitals in any part of the paper should be established. Otherwise, the anonymity of the hospitals should be maintained.</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Romer C. Castillo</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Batangas State University, Philippines</i>