SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_47529
Title of the Manuscript:	EMPIRICAL EVALUATION OF CURRENT ASSETS INVESTMENT AND CORPORATE FINANCIAL RETURNS IN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No major revision comment	
Minor REVISION comments	 In line 14 of the Abstract, replace the word "acceptance" by "non-rejection". Another option is to replace the phrase "acceptance of the null hypothesis" by "failure of rejecting the null hypothesis". The word "accept" is no longer use as a decision for null hypothesis. The decision is either "reject" or "fail to reject". The symbol use for Pearson correlation is "r". Replace "t" in lines 12 and 14 of the Abstract by "r", that is "r = .443" and "r = .369" for line 12 and 14, respectively. The p-value should also be written without "0" before the decimal point, that is "p = .004" (line 13) and "p = .019" (line 14). Do the same corrections for the results and discussion in page 9 and the conclusions in pages 10-11. Further, use "R" instead of "r" for coefficient of correlation and "R²" instead of "r²" for coefficient of determination in page 9 and page 11. All references cited in the text should be included in the list of references at the end of the paper. In particular, the following references should be included: Jose et al. 1996 (cited in line 189; and Gill et al. 2010 (cited in line 206). 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Romer C. Castillo
Department, University & Country	Batangas State University, Philippines

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)