SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_49301
Title of the Manuscript:	Customer Satisfactions on Islamic Banking Services in Almadinah City, Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	It is a pretty good use of English. 16- implicated. No, you mean introduced. And what does "online ones" mean? Blah. 17-implications. No, you mean innovations.	
	The article is full of such issues. Pretty good for a non-English speaker. The ideas are, as I understand them, good.	
Minor REVISION comments	48-"a recent study"? Since when is 2008 a recent study?	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alan Garfield Digital
Department, University & Country	University of Dubuque, USA

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)