

## **Original Research Article**

**Analysis of smallholder farmers' perceptions of climate change, preference and willingness-to-pay for seasonal climate forecasts information in Savelugu Municipality of Ghana**

### **ABSTRACT**

**Aim:** Agricultural production is directly affected by climate change. This means that access to climate information would help the farmers' preparedness for farming activities and the decision on the types of crops to grow when to grow them and the types of farm management activities to adopt. As such, this study analysed farmers' preference for seasonal climate forecasts and their willingness-to-pay for these information.

**Place and duration:** The study was conducted in the Savelugu Municipality in the Northern region of Ghana. A single year data was collected for analysis.

**Methodology:** A total of 300 farmers were selected through a two stage sampling procedure and used for the study. From the theory of contingent valuation, a descriptive statistic and Heckman model were used in analysing the data.

**Results:** From the results, the majority of farmers are willing-to-pay for seasonal climate information, especially, climate forecasts on rainfall. The farmers preferred that these seasonal climate forecasts should be disseminated to them through the radio. The farmers exhibit positive willingness-to-pay for the seasonal climate forecasts to about 20 Ghana cedis. A number of factors influenced the farmers' decision and amount they are willing to pay and these includes gender, age, perception of climate change experience, ownership of radio, off-farm activity and participation in planting for food and jobs (PFFJ) program.

**Conclusions:** The findings of this study highlights on the need for climate information by the farmers and how this can be effectively disseminated to them. Generally, government institutions and other private agencies should take up the challenge and opportunity to provide climate information, especially seasonal rainfall forecast, to the farmers at a fee. This fee must be determined at an optimal or at least a breakeven price considering the farmer's ability to pay. The study also recommended that climate information dissemination should be integrated into government's PFFJ program.

**Key words:** Contingent valuation; Climate perception; Seasonal climate forecast, Willingness to pay, ~~Heckman two stage~~

### **INTRODUCTION**

In Ghana, agriculture contributes about 20% of the national Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (ISSER, 2017) whiles also providing 51% of the employment in the country (Stutley, 2010). Agriculture is also responsible for about 75% of foreign exchange earnings in the country

38 (Armah et al., 2011) with crop production making up approximately two-thirds of the sector.  
39 However, like other countries in sub-Saharan Africa, Ghana's agriculture is at risk with the  
40 changing climate and its consequences. These effects of climate change are becoming noticeable  
41 through drought or floods that affect the yield of crops especially the two major food crops of the  
42 country, maize and rice. This has been reflected into a decrease of 6.3% and 9.3% in the national  
43 value of maize and rice production respectively over the last two decades (Stutley, 2010).  
44 Ultimately, there is a strong relationship between climate change and agricultural production.  
45 The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has predicted that, rain fed crop  
46 production could decrease by 50% by 2050 (IPCC, 2007) looking at the spate of changes in  
47 climatic conditions. According to International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) (2009),  
48 Africa countries, especially, those in the sub-Saharan region are the most vulnerable group to  
49 climate change due to their high dependence on agriculture for economic growth, incomes and  
50 employment. Gradually, farmers are becoming unable to predict the patterns of rainfall in order  
51 to plan their production processes. Thus, farmers face uncertainty in their production  
52 (Loboguerrero et al., 2018). This raised the need for seasonal climate forecasts and making these  
53 information available to the farmers.

54 Various scholars have highlighted the importance of seasonal climate forecasting to smallholder  
55 farmers who are the central component of food production in the country (Sarpong et al., 2012).  
56 Usually, access to climatic information forms the premise upon which smallholder farmers make  
57 crucial decisions that relate to their farming activities. Accordingly, farmers are fond of using  
58 traditional means based on local knowledge to forecast rainfall patterns ahead for the crop  
59 production season. This traditional seasonal climate forecasts, operates as an endogenous system  
60 of climate information that guides farmers in making decisions relevant to the size of plots to  
61 cultivate, types of crop varieties to produce and planting dates, among others. The main factors  
62 that serve as indicators for these endogenous seasonal climate forecasts are environmental  
63 (moon, cloud, wind), biological (animals, plants), magic and religious (Phillips, et al 2002).  
64 However, according to Roncoli et al., (2008), these endogenous forecasts are becoming less  
65 reliable because of climate change over the past two decades. This can be attributed to various  
66 changes such as variation in length of rainy seasons, with variation in number of rainy days from  
67 year to year (Traore et al., 2013), massive changes in agricultural calendar due to changes in  
68 seasonal rainfall quantity and the onset and ending dates of production seasons (Marteau et al.,  
69 2011).

70 Onyango et al. (2014) reported that, one of the great problems of climate science is predicting the  
71 probability of an occurrence, severity and duration of an extreme event, as well as when and  
72 where the event will take place and also the willingness of smallholder farmers to pay for  
73 forecasted climate information. The harsh effects of climate change have continued to create  
74 massive problems among the poor households who are risk averse, leaving them more vulnerable  
75 and food insecure in many months of the year (Onyango et al., 2014). In order to help meet these  
76 challenges, more investment in disaster risk reduction is needed, including building the capacity  
77 to anticipate risks and as well as provision of relevant and accurate climate forecasting  
78 information services as an early warning strategy. Accurate seasonal climate forecasts can also  
79 help not only to reduce climatic uncertainty, but to also reduce livelihood risk to smallholder

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

80 farmers. Studies have highlighted the significance of climate forecast. Among them is Graham et  
81 al. (2002) who suggested that the reports of natural theorists were inaccurate and that there **is** a  
82 need for a greater knowledge to further understand the atmosphere. Scientific weather  
83 forecasting which is more accurate, credible and reliable emerged in the mid-nineteenth century.  
84 Empirically, several studies have examined the factors influencing farmers' willingness-to-pay  
85 (WTP) for seasonal climate forecasts but the results from these studies are mixed and  
86 inconsistent (Ouédraogo et al, 2018; Amegnaglo et al., 2017; Mabe, et al., 2014; Stutley, 2010;  
87 Nakuja, 2012). **This (These)** suggests that the factors influencing farmer's WTP **is** location and  
88 time specific. Again, these studies have either overlooked the need for addressing sample  
89 selection bias or failed to understand the role of the farmer's climate perception on their WTP  
90 decisions. This study addressed these limitations of previous studies and also highlighted the  
91 influence of Government's recent policy in agriculture, planting for food and jobs (PFFJ) policy  
92 on individual farmer's WTP for climate forecasts.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

93 The introduction of this policy **is** to ensure high output from farms, increase the productivity of  
94 farmers and improve the food security status of the country. Implicitly, this policy would move  
95 farming from largely subsistence to a business or nearly commercial venture. The success or  
96 failure of this policy would ultimately be determined by the production climate or environment,  
97 largely, rainfall and the availability of early warning systems. In addition to highlighting the  
98 influence of agricultural policies such as the PFFJ on WTP for scientific climate forecasts, this  
99 study would highlight policy issues around the pricing of scientific climate information.  
100 Primarily therefore, the objective of this study **is** to investigate smallholder farmers' WTP for  
101 seasonal climate forecasts in the Savelugu Municipality in the northern region of Ghana. Thus,  
102 the study specifically **seeks to address** the following research questions. (1) What **are were** the  
103 types of seasonal climate forecasts preferred by farmers? (2) What **are** the channels through  
104 which farmers want to receive seasonal climate forecasts **information**? (3) **Are** farmers willing to  
105 pay for seasonal climate forecast? (4) What factors influence farmers WTP for seasonal climate  
106 forecasts?

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

107 **The remainder of the paper is structured as follows: Section two describes methodology under**  
108 **the subheadings of study area, sampling procedure and data collection, and analysis. Section**  
109 **three presents and discusses the main findings of the study. Section four concludes with policy**  
110 **recommendations. It is automatic that these sub sections have to be discussed. I suggest you**  
111 **delete this!!!!!!!!!!!!!!**

Formatted: Highlight

## 113 **METHODOLOGY**

### 114 **Study Area**

115 The study was carried out in Savelugu Municipality. The Municipality was purposively selected,  
116 due to a report by Population and Housing Census, (2010) that demarcated farmers in this area to  
117 be smallholder farmers mainly into rain-fed maize production, and characterized with erratic  
118 rainfall. It shares boundaries with West Mamprusi District to the North, Karaga District to the  
119 East, Tolon/Kumbungu District to the West and Sagnerigu District Assembly to the South. The

120 municipality has about 149 communities with many of the communities concentrated at the  
121 southern section. The population of Savelugu Municipal, as projected by 2010 Population and  
122 Housing Census, is 139,283 representing 5.1% of the region's total population, with 60% of the  
123 population being rural. The municipality also has a total land area of about 1790.70 sq. km. Due  
124 to the availability of arable land and limited economic opportunities, as high as 89.3% of  
125 households in the district are engage in agriculture. In the rural localities, nine out of every ten  
126 households (93.3%) are agricultural households. Most of these households (97.0%) are involved  
127 in crop farming (GSS, 2014). The area receives an average annual rainfall of 600mm, considered  
128 enough for a single farming season. The annual rainfall pattern is erratic at the beginning of the  
129 raining season, starting in April and intensifies as the season advances, raising rainfall levels to  
130 about 1000mm sometimes. The municipality finds itself in the interior (Guinea) Savanna  
131 woodland which could sustain large scale livestock farming, as well as the cultivation of food  
132 crops such as rice, groundnuts, yams, cassava, maize, cowpea and sorghum (Population and  
133 Housing Census, 2010).

Formatted: Highlight

134

### 135 **Sampling procedure and data collection**

136 The data for the study was obtained through a cross-sectional survey of farmers solely engaged  
137 in maize production in the Municipality. The study employed two-stage sampling technique,  
138 where in the first stage, the sampling frame was the list of communities in the municipality and  
139 then random sampling was used to select ten (10) communities in the Savelugu municipality. In  
140 the second stage, the sample frame was the list of farmers in each of the selected communities  
141 Pigu, Balshei, Pong Tamale, Tibala, Kpong, Kpendua, Yiworgu, Boggu, Ying and Damdu.  
142 Using the sample frame thirty (30) respondents were selected from each community randomly  
143 using systematic sampling technique. Therefore, in all, a total of 300 maize farmers were  
144 selected for this study. Also focus group discussions were employed to collect qualitative data  
145 which gave us in-depth-information on the type of seasonal climate forecasts needed by farmers  
146 and the channels that farmers want to receive their seasonal climate forecasts.

Formatted: Highlight

147 The contingent valuation method (CVM) was used to elicit respondents WTP for seasonal  
148 climate forecast because it allows us to obtain information on the value people assign to non-  
149 market goods such as climate information which are not paid for by consumer in a formal market  
150 (Pearce and Turner, 1990). A lot of studies have employed the CVM to assess farmers' WTP for  
151 climate information and these include Ouédraogo et al, (2018), Amegnaglo et al., (2017), Zongo  
152 et al., (2016), and Mabe et al. (2014). Based on focus group discussions and literature, a  
153 hypothetical market was designed and presented to respondents to elicit their WTP amount. The  
154 preamble was carved to include statements such as a firm willingness to deliver seasonal climate  
155 forecasts to respondents before the planting season. The iterative bidding mechanism was used to  
156 elicit the initial and final WTP amount from the respondents because it mimic the bargaining  
157 market that exist in developing country like Ghana (Anaman and Jair, 2001; Alhassan et al,  
158 2017).

Formatted: Highlight

### 159 **Data analysis**

160 **Willingness to Pay for Seasonal Climate Forecast: Contingent Valuation Method**

161 | The theoretical underpinning of CVM is the theory of consumer behavior. This is explained in  
162 this section. Given any bundle of goods, farmers are considered as rational agents who aim at  
163 maximizing their utility. It is necessary to note that utility function and attributes of the  
164 commodity under question must be critically considered in the estimation of WTP. Thus, an  
165 individual seeks to maximize utility of a good (in this case seasonal climate forecast) subject to a  
166 given constraint. However, Khuc (2013) used indirect utility function to derive WTP for drinking  
167 water in Vietnam. In equation (1), a farmer aims at maximizing utility derived from using  
168 seasonal climate forecast in agricultural production process given the quantity of the seasonal  
169 climate forecast and income.

$$U = u^*(q_1, q_2 \dots \dots q_n) \quad (1)$$

170 | Meanwhile, utility function is a summary of one's preference and taste for a commodity  
171 with regard to purchases which affect the expenditure. Khuc (2013) indicated that an  
172 individual rather seeks to minimize his or her expenditures in order to attain a certain level of  
173 utility,  $u^*$ . Therefore, the expenditure function for a farmer when the quantity ( $q_0$ ) of seasonal  
174 climate forecast is delivered by any institution without charging a fee is given as:

$$e = e(P, q_0, u^*) \quad (2)$$

175 For a farmer to willingly source for specific quantity and quality ( $q_1$ ) of seasonal climate forecast  
176 to meet his or her own need in production activities, that farmer is prepared to  
177 increase his or her expenditure. The WTP is then derived as the difference in the farmer's  
178 expenditure. Thus:

$$WTP = e(P, q_0, u_*) - e(P, q_1, u^*) \quad (3)$$

179 Where  $q_1 > q_0$

180 | Therefore, the maximum amount of money a farmer is willing to pay for the improvement in the  
181 quality of the seasonal climate forecasts is equal to the difference in expenditure between the  
182 expenditure that prevails when the farmer uses the new seasonal climate information and the  
183 expenditure that prevails when the farmer uses pre-existing forecasts.

184

185

186 **Determinants of willingness-to-pay for seasonal climate forecasts: Heckman two-stage**  
187 **selection model**

188 Following Amegnaglo et al., (2015), the study employed the two-step Heckman selection model  
189 because of its ability to correct sample selection bias. The two-step Heckman selection model is  
190 instituted on the main assumption that the processes that defines a producer's decision to pay or  
191 not to pay for seasonal climate forecasts is different from the processes that decides the amount  
192 that will be paid by the producer (Heckman,1979). Based on this, to examine the factors that

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

193 influence farmer's WTP for seasonal climate forecasts, the Heckman two stage sample selection  
194 model was used.

195 Initially (in the first or decision stage), respondents are queried if they are willing to pay to  
196 access scientific seasonal climate forecast or prefer to dwell in their traditional method of  
197 predicting. This tends to allow for dichotomous responds from respondents that is Yes (1 if  
198 willing to pay) or NO (0 if not willing to pay). Thus, the probability of responding Yes or NO is  
199 expressed in probit regression model as:

$$P_i = \vartheta + \beta_i x_i + \varepsilon_i \quad (4)$$

200 where  $\beta_i$  is a vector of parameters to be estimated,  $x_i$  is a vector of observed factors such as  
201 socioeconomic factors and institutional factors (Table 1),  $\varepsilon_i$  error term which is independently  
202 and identically distributed with a normal probability distribution function.

203 At the final stage (the second or outcome stage), upon the willingness of respondents to pay for  
204 seasonal climate forecast, farmers are asked the amount they are willing to pay to access the  
205 information. The amounts expressed for those willing to pay are positive while the amounts  
206 expressed by those not willing to pay are zero. In the second stage of the Heckman's model, the  
207 outcome equation is expressed for those with the decision to pay for climate forecasts. This can  
208 be expressed as:

$$WTP_i = \varphi + \beta_i x_i + \gamma IMR + \mu_i \quad (5)$$

209 WTP is the outcome variable (i.e. the amount farmers are willing to pay in Ghana cedis). The  
210 Inverse Mills Ratio (IMR) is a proxy variable for the probability of using seasonal climate  
211 forecasts and is added to the outcome equation as an additional independent variable. The IMR  
212 measures the sample selection effect.  $\mu_i$  is the error term which is independently and normally  
213 distributed. Again, the vector of  $x_i$  variables is shown in Table 1.

214

## 215 **Results and Discussions**

### 216 **Demographic and Socio-economic characteristics of the farmer**

217 Based on the survey, most of the respondents (93.33%) were males and 6.67% were females.

218 The small percentage of females could be attributed to the fact that, women do not own land as a  
219 result of the cultural discriminations that prevail in the communities, thus women are not  
220 culturally entitled to land ownership in the area. The ratio of men to women is not different from

221 other studies in Ghana (Aidoo *et al.*, 2014). The analysis shows that the average age of the  
222 respondents in the study area was 38.71 years. Furthermore, the study area has a relatively large

223 average household size of about 12 persons per household which is an indication of large labour  
224 available for adoption of labour intensive technologies. With regards to education, the mean

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

225 | years of formal education is 4.80 years which is consistent with GSS (2013). In terms of years in  
 226 | maize farming, 77.71% of the farmers have more than 20 years of experience in maize farming  
 227 | with a mean years in maize farming of 21.32 years. Finally, about 16.33% of the respondents  
 228 | participated in planting for food and jobs (PFFJ) program and 83.67% were non-participant.  
 229 | With a mean value of 4.45, for farmers' perception of the existence of climate change it suggest  
 230 | that majority of the farmers strongly agree that climate change exist.

Formatted: Highlight

231 | **Table 1: Variable Definition and their Descriptive Statistics**

<b>Independent variables</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Std Dev.</b>
Gender	1 if household head is male, 0 if female	94.56a	0.23
Age	Age of household head in years	38.71	13.17
Experience	Years of farming	21.2	13.58
Household size	Number of persons living in the household	14	10.85
PFFJ	1 if farmer participate in planting for food and job programme, 0 if otherwise	19.39a	0.40
Extension	1 if farmer has access to extension service, 0 if otherwise	39.40a	0.32
Farm size	Size of the farm in acres	5.52	7.66
Yield	Production of maize in bags per unit area (100kg bag/acre). Used as a proxy for previous year output.	3.56	6.17
FBO	1 if farmer is a member of farmer based organization (FBO), 0 if otherwise	18.03a	0.39
On-farm	1 if main economic activity is farming , 0 if otherwise	65a	0.48
Climate perception	1 if a farmer perceived there are changes in climatic conditions, 0 if otherwise.	4.45	1.20
Off-farm	1 if engaged in off-farm activities, 0 otherwise	63.44a	0.42



Radio	1 if a farmer owns radio, 0 if not	80.00a	0.40
-------	------------------------------------	--------	------

232 **Source:** Field survey, (2018). **Note:** Mean values with ‘a’ are proportions and not means.

233 **Perception about climate change, types and channel of receiving seasonal climates forecasts**

234 Farmers’ perceptions on climate change are crucial in reducing the impacts of climate change. In  
 235 this section, the respondent’s opinions on climate change are provided. From Table 2, the study  
 236 found out that majority of the maize farmers (85.33%) perceived that there is a changing climate  
 237 while the rest 14.67% perceived that there is no changing in the climate. However, those who  
 238 believed it exist perceived it in diverse ways, some perceive climate change being evidence  
 239 through irregular rainfall pattern (70%) and believed strongly that bush burning causes climate  
 240 change (30%). The farmers who actually believed in the existence of climate change adopted  
 241 certain adaptation strategies that includes the following, early planting (10%), changing of  
 242 planting date (40%), short term crop planting(15%), creating fire belts around farms(5%),  
 243 adopting improve seed varieties (20%) and fertilizer application (10%). Also, about 94.67% of  
 244 the respondents indicated that they need seasonal climate forecast for planning farming activity  
 245 while the rest 5.33% of respondents indicated no need for seasonal forecasts information. It is  
 246 important to emphasize that farmers interviewed engaged in rain-fed maize farming system, and  
 247 as such, the success of the agricultural season depends to a larger extent on the nature of the  
 248 rainy season. Hence the central role played by rainfall in the success of the agricultural venture  
 249 justifies the high interest of farmers wanting to receive seasonal climate forecasts related to  
 250 rainfall (onset, distribution and amount).

251 To reveal seasonal climate forecast needed by farmers, the study sought the respondents’ opinion  
 252 on their preference for seasonal climate forecasts. Thus, the respondents were presented with  
 253 various seasonal climate forecast components that theoretically farmers must have knowledge or  
 254 information on in order to enhance their agricultural activities. From Table 2 it was revealed that  
 255 about 94% of farmers preferred seasonal climate forecasts on rainfall, followed by temperature  
 256 (3%), sunshine and lastly humidity (0.67%). This preference order by the farmers can be  
 257 explained by the fact that rainfall is the primary climatic condition in crop production. It is  
 258 therefore consistent that farmers would prefer seasonal information on rainfall than the other  
 259 climate variables. A similar result was obtained by Mabe et al. (2014) and Amegnaglo et al.  
 260 (2015). Farmers’ high interest for information about the onset of the rainy season can be  
 261 attributed to the fact that maize is a weather sensitive crop, specifically during the germination.  
 262 Thus, information about the onset of the rainy season aids farmers in making their choice  
 263 regarding crop cultivars that are more favorably to the season. Farmers can choose late or early  
 264 maturing cultivars depending on the rainfall pattern.

265

266 **Table 2: Perception about Climate Change, Types and Channel of Receiving Seasonal**  
 267 **Climates Forecasts**

Variable	Frequency	Percentage
----------	-----------	------------

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight



<b>Existence of climate change</b>		
Yes	256	85.33
No	44	14.67
<b>Need for seasonal forecast</b>		
Yes	284	94.67
No	16	5.33
<b>Type of seasonal climate forecasting knowledge needed for farming</b>		
Rainfall	282	94.00
Temperature	9	3.00
Sunshine	7	2.33
Humidity	2	0.67
<b>Channel for receiving seasonal climate forecast</b>		
Mobile phone	79	26.33
Radio	149	49.67
Television	72	24.00

268 Survey field work, 2018

269 | One priority factor to consider **is** were the medium for delivering seasonal climate forecast.  
 270 Therefore, three channels were provided to the respondents to indicate their preference. The  
 271 communication channels used to deliver seasonal climate forecasts to end-users are vital because  
 272 it can influence the use or non-use of the information and significantly reduce the verification  
 273 costs (Goddard et al., 2010). As shown in Table 2, the highest percentage (49.67%) farmers  
 274 preferred to receive the seasonal climate forecasts through radio, whilst 26.33% and 24%  
 275 preferred receiving seasonal forecasts through mobile phones and television, respectively. This  
 276 could be due to the presence of a radio channel or station in the Savelugu township. This provide  
 277 a major source of information to the members of the Municipality in a local language. With the  
 278 recent upsurge of mobile phones, one would expect that farmers would prefer to receive seasonal  
 279 climate forecasts through the mobile phones. However, from a focus group discussion, it was  
 280 revealed that information provided through the mobile phones are done in English language and  
 281 most of the farmers could either not read or could read with minimal understanding. This is  
 282 consistent with the low educational level of the farmers as in Table 1. Consistently, Amegnaglo  
 283 et al. (2015) found that about 75% of their respondents preferred radio as medium for receiving  
 284 climate information.

Formatted: Highlight

285

286 | **Farmers' WTP for seasonal climate forecast information**

287 Table 3 **shows** the result on the WTP for seasonal climate forecasts by the farmers. This involved  
 288 the WTP decision, the minimum or first amount and the maximum or final amount a farmer is  
 289 willing to pay for a forecast.

Formatted: Highlight

290 **Table 3: The WTP, initial and final WTP amount GHC (USD)**

Variable	Frequency	Percentage (%)
----------	-----------	----------------

---

**WTP decision**

Yes	221	73.67
No	79	26.33

**Amount WTP (mean)**

Initial bid	17.59 (3.53)
Final bid	20.40 (4.09)

---

291 Note: 1USD= GHC 4.99 Ghana Cedis (18<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 exchange rate)

292 **Source: Field Survey (2018)**

293 The survey depicts that majority of the farmers were ready to contribute financially to benefit  
294 from the seasonal climate forecast in order to reduce climate risks on agricultural productivity  
295 (Table 3). Thus, 73.67% of the farmers showed a strictly positive WTP. However, 26.33% of the  
296 farmers need climate information but were not willing to pay for it. Thus, although these farmers  
297 indicated that they need scientific seasonal climate forecasts, they are willing to pay for these  
298 forecasts, hence, would rely on indigenous knowledge in predicting the weather events.

299 As shown in Table 3, the initial mean WTP is about GHC17.59 (USD3.53), which averagely  
300 increased to GHC20.40 (USD 4.09) as the final amount a farmer is willing to pay for a weather  
301 forecast. Compared to the study by Amegnaglo et al., (2017) in Benin, the mean WTP value is  
302 lower than what farmers were willing to pay in Benin (USD 19).

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

303

304 **Determinants of smallholder farmers' WTP for seasonal climate forecasts.**

305 As indicated in the study methodology, a two-step Heckman analysis was used to examine the  
306 factors that influence farmer's WTP decision for seasonal climate forecasts and the maximum  
307 WTP amount. The estimated results are presented in Table 4. The coefficient of the inverse  
308 Mill's ratio (IMR) was statistically significant at 10%. This depicts the presence of selection bias  
309 in the dataset and an indication that the estimates in the outcome equation appropriately explain  
310 the WTP amount of the farmers. The results of the WTP decision model indicates that gender,  
311 FBO membership, perception of climate change experience, and ownership of radio significantly  
312 influenced smallholder farmers' decision to pay for seasonal climate forecasts. Also, the WTP  
313 amount for seasonal climate forecast was significantly influenced by age, off-farm activities,  
314 participant of planting for food and jobs (PFFJ), and farmer's perception of climate change.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

315 Gender of respondents had a positive influence on WTP decision and this was significant at 5%.  
316 This suggests that households headed by males have higher probability of showing a positive  
317 decision to pay for seasonal climate forecast than female heads. This can be partially due to the  
318 fact that the men are the main decision makers in the households of the Municipality. Therefore,  
319 the females may have to consult a male adult in the household before taking a decision. This  
320 could mask the females WTP declaration and could explain the insignificance of gender in the  
321 outcome model.

322 From the result, ownership of radio had a positive significant effect on the WTP decision but a  
 323 negative insignificant effect on the WTP amount. The positive effect **implies** that farmers who  
 324 own radio have a higher probability of WTP for climate forecasts than farmers who do not own a  
 325 radio set. This result contradicts the findings by Zongo *et al.* (2016), who postulated that farmers  
 326 believe that climate information should be free if they are broadcasted by radio channel thus  
 327 decreasing the value the farmers should or would be willing to pay. Our findings can be  
 328 explained in the sense that majority of the farmers were illiterate and as such would prefer to  
 329 receive climate information by radio broadcast in their local dialect rather than phone messages  
 330 which **is** communicated in English. This **is** consistent with the result in Table 2 where the  
 331 farmers indicated high preference for receiving seasonal forecasts through the radio set.

Formatted: Highlight

332 Consistent with expectations of the researcher, the perception on climate change had a positive  
 333 significant effect on both the decision and the WTP amount for seasonal climate forecasts. This  
 334 means that farmers who perceived that climate change exist have a higher WTP than those who  
 335 perceived that there are no changes in the climatic conditions. This is reasonable as perceived  
 336 existence of climate change serve as a motivation for farmers to pay more to receive seasonal  
 337 climate information which will enable them adapt well to the changing climate.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

338 The result established that PFFJ have a positive effect on both the decision and the WTP amount.  
 339 However, the effect **is** significant for only the amount WTP. This implies that farmers who are  
 340 participant of the PFFJ program are willing to pay higher amounts for seasonal climate forecasts  
 341 than those who are non-members of the program. This clearly demonstrates the effectiveness of  
 342 agricultural program in enhancing farmers' preparedness and willingness to have relevant  
 343 climate information.

Formatted: Highlight

344 The effect of off-farm activity **is** also positive and significant in explaining the WTP amount by  
 345 the farmers. Thus, farmers who engage in off-farm activities such as trading are WTP higher  
 346 amounts for climate forecasts than those engaged solely in agriculture. Possibly, farmers who  
 347 engage in off-farm activities are willing to offer incomes from these non-farm activities to pay  
 348 for the climate forecasts. This can be tired to the notion that farmers invest income from non-  
 349 farm activities into their farms. This confirmed the results of Zongo *et al.*, (2015).

Formatted: Highlight

350 **Table 4: Determinants of farmers' WTP for seasonal climate forecasts**

Variable	WTP Decision (Decision model)		WTP amount (Outcome model)	
	Coefficient (Std. Err.)	P-values	Coefficient (Std. Err.)	P-values
Gender	0.2131** (0.1107)	0.054	0.4313 (0.3390)	0.203
Age	-	-	-0.0053 (0.0065)	0.409
Education	-	-	0.1111 (0.2171)	0.024
Experience	0.0005 (0.0022)	0.829	-	-
Phone	-	-	-0.5955	0.172

			(0.4356)	
Off-farm	-	-	0.4000**	0.059
			(0.2123)	
PFFJ	0.0784	0.369	0.0340**	0.024
	(0.0872)		(0.0151)	
FBO	-0.0356	0.635	-	-
	(0.0751)			
Farm size	-	-	-0.0125	0.215
			(0.0101)	
Yield	0.0022	0.602	-	-
	(0.0042)			
Climate perception	0.2814**	0.015	0.3949*	0.062
	(0.1160)		(0.2167)	
Radio	0.1959**	0.018	-0.1253	0.543
	(0.0827)		(0.2057)	
Constant	0.5507***	0.008	0.8592	0.222
	(0.2076)		(0.7038)	
Mills lambda	-0.4452*	0.084		
	(0.2575)			
Rho	-0.8825			
Sigma	0.5045			

351 Wald chi2 (8) = 20.27; Prob > chi2 = 0.0094; \*, \*\* and \*\*\* denote 10%, 5% and 1% significant  
 352 level.

353

### 354 Conclusions and Recommendations

355 Information such as seasonal climate forecast **is known** among the important information  
 356 employed by farmers when making crucial decisions that relate to their farming activities. **Within**  
 357 **this consideration, this study analysed the preference for climate forecast and the farmers' WTP.**  
 358 **This was done through cross-sectional data from 300 smallholder farmers in Savelugu**  
 359 **Municipality. From the theory of contingent valuation, a descriptive statistic and Heckman**  
 360 **model were used in analysing the data. The Heckman model allowed us to understand the**  
 361 **determining factors for both the decision and the WTP amount by the farmers. THESE**  
 362 **SHOULD BE REMOVE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!**

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

363 The study established that the majority of farmers are willing to pay for seasonal climate  
 364 information. However, the most preferred seasonal climate forecast by the farmers **is** on rainfall  
 365 (onset, distribution and amount). Again, the study concluded that for the farmers to accept to pay  
 366 for seasonal climate forecasts, especially on rainfall, it must be communicated through the radio.  
 367 This ultimately offers a greater opportunity for the dissemination of climate forecasts to a large  
 368 group of farmers within a short possible time. On the average, farmers **are were** willing to pay  
 369 about 18-20 Ghana cedis for climate forecast. The study also established a number of factors that  
 370 influenced both the decision and WTP amount for seasonal climate forecasts. These factors

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

371 include gender, FBO membership, perception of climate change experience, and ownership of  
372 radio. Furthermore, the factors determining WTP are age, trading, participant of planting for  
373 food and jobs (PFFJ), and perception of climate change. This study highlighted a number of  
374 policy implications.

375 | Firstly, there **is** ~~was the~~ need for government institutions and other private agencies to take up  
376 the challenge and opportunity to provide climate information, especially seasonal rainfall  
377 forecast, to the farmers. Farmers indicated WTP for such services. Therefore, these agencies  
378 must determine an optimal or at least a breakeven price to charge the farmers for the forecasts.  
379 This should be done considering the farmer's ability and WTP. For example, national extension  
380 packages must make it a priority to integrate seasonal forecast via radio stations available while  
381 making emphasizes on such issues during visitation to such farmers. Secondly, it must be  
382 emphasized that numerous of farming activities depend greatly on climate events hence the role  
383 of climate information in farming cannot be underestimated, since the farmers are willing to pay  
384 to have such information. Thus, when such vital information is at their disposal it will help in the  
385 management of on-farm and non-farm risk which increases farm productivity thus increasing  
386 farmers welfare as a whole. Lastly, climate information dissemination can be integrated into  
387 | government's PFFJ program. This **is** essential since the production climate can have a negative  
388 effect on the success of the program and the fact that membership of the program enhances  
389 farmers WTP decision and amounts for seasonal climate forecasts.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

390

#### 391 **Acknowledgement**

392 We are sincerely grateful to the respondents of this study.

393

#### 394 **Declaration of interest**

395 Authors have declared that no competing interests exist

396

397 .

398

#### 399 **REFERENCES**

400 Alhassan, H., Asante, A.F., Oteng-Ababio, M. and Bawakyillenuo, S. (2017). Do socio-  
401 psychological factors influence households' willingness to pay for improved solid waste  
402 management services? Evidence from Ghana. *International Journal of Green Economics*,  
403 11(3/4), 183-203.

404 Amegnaglo, C.J., Mensah-Bonsu, A., (2015). Are agrometeorological forecasts useful or harmful  
405 for smallholders in Africa: what does the literature say? In: Paper Presented at the 16th  
406 Global Development Conference. Agriculture for Sustainable Growth – Challenges and

407 Opportunities for a New Green Revolution. Global Development Network, Casablanca  
408 (Morocco).

409 Amegnaglo, C.J., Anaman, K.A., Mensah-Bonsu, A., Onumah, E.E. and Gero, F.A. (2017).  
410 Contingent valuation study of the benefits of seasonal climate forecasts for maize farmers  
411 in the Republic of Benin, West Africa. *Climate Services*, 6, 1-11.

412 Armah, A.F., J.O. Odoi, G.T. Yengoh, S. Obiri, D.O. Yawson and E.K.A. Afrifa. (2011). Food  
413 Security and climate change in drought-sensitive savanna zones of Ghana. *Mitigation and  
414 Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 16, 291–306.

415 Ghana Statistical service (2014). 2010 Population and Housing Census: District Analytica  
416 Report, Savelugu-Nanton District. Republic of Ghana.

417 Ghana Statistical Service. (2014). Poverty Profile in Ghana (2005-2013). Ghana Living  
418 Standards Survey, Sixth Round Report (GLSS 6). Accra, Ghana.

419 Ghana Statistical Service. 2013. Poverty Profile in Ghana (2005-2013). Ghana Living Standards  
420 Survey, Sixth Round Report (GLSS 6). Accra, Ghana.

421 Goddard, L., Aitchellouche, Y., Baethgen, W., Dettinger, M., Graham, R., Hayman, P., Kadi, M.,  
422 Martínez, R., Meinke, H., Conrad, E., (2010). Providing seasonal-to-interannual climate  
423 information for risk management and decision-making. *Procedia Environmental Science*,  
424 (1), 81–101

425 Graham, S., Pakinson C., and Chain M. (2002). Weather forecast through the ages. EOS project  
426 science, NASA.

427 Heckman, J., (1979). Sample specification bias as a selection error. *Econometrica*, 47 (1), 153–  
428 162 <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1912352>.

429 Ingram, K. T., Roncoli, M. C., & Kirshen, P. H. (2002). Opportunities and constraints for  
430 farmers of West Africa to use seasonal precipitation forecasts with Burkina Faso as a case  
431 study. *Agricultural Systems*, 74(3), 331-349.

432 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, IPCC (2007). Summary for Policymakers. In  
433 Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working  
434 Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of National Development Planning  
435 Commission [NDPC].

436 International Food and Policy Institute (IFPRI) (2009). Climate change: Impact on agriculture  
437 and costs of adaptation. Washington, DC.

438 ISSER (2017). The state of the Ghanaian economy 2016. Legon, Accra.

439 Khuc, V. Q. (2013). Household's Willingness-To-Pay Estimation for Safe Drinking Water:  
440 A Case Study in Vietnam. A Thesis Submitted to Department of Agricultural and  
441 Resource Economics, Colorado State University in Partial Fulfilment of the  
442 Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

- 443 Loboguerrero A. M., Boshell F., León G., Martínez-Baron D., Giraldo D., Mejía . L. R., Díaz E.  
444 and Cock J. (2018). Bridging the gap between climate science and farmers in Colombia.  
445 *Climate Risk Management*, 22, 67-81, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2018.08.001>.
- 446 Mabe, F., N., Nketiah, P., Darko, D., (2014). Farmers' willingness to pay for weather forecast  
447 information in Savelugu-Nanton Municipality of the Northern Region. *Russian Journal*  
448 *of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences*, 36(12), 34-44.
- 449 Marteau, R., Sultan, B., Moron, V., Alhassane, A., Baron, C., & Traoré, S. B. (2011). The onset  
450 of the rainy season and farmers' sowing strategy for pearl millet cultivation in Southwest  
451 Niger. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 151(10), 1356-1369.
- 452 Nakuja, T., Sarpong, D. B., Kuwornu, J. K. M. and Ashante F. A. (2012). Water storage for dry  
453 season vegetable farming as an adaptation to climate change in the upper east region of  
454 Ghana. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 7(2), 298-306.
- 455 Onyango, E., Ochieng, S., Awiti, A. (2014). Weather and climate information needs of small-  
456 scale farming and fishing communities in western Kenya for enhanced adaptive potential  
457 to climate change. Proceedings of Sustainable Research and Innovation Conference, 4,  
458 187-193.
- 459 Ouédraogo ,M Barry,S.,Zougmoré, R.B.,Partey.S.T., Somé, L. and Baki, G.(2018). Farmers'  
460 willingness to pay for climate information services: Evidence from cowpea and sesame  
461 producers in Northern Burkina Faso. *Sustainability*, 10(611), 1-16.
- 462 Pearce, D. and Turner, R.K.(1990). Economics of Natural Resources and the Environment; The  
463 Johns Hopkins University press: Baltimore, MD, USA; 378p.
- 464
- 465 Phillips, J., Deane, D., Unganai, L., & Chimeli, A. (2002). Implications of farm level response to  
466 seasonal climate forecasts for aggregate grain production in Zimbabwe. *Agricultural*  
467 *Systems*, 74(3), 351-369.
- 468 Roncoli, C. (2006). Ethnographic and participatory approaches to research on farmers' responses  
469 to climate predictions. *Climate Research*, 33, 81-99
- 470 Roncoli, C., Jost, C., Kirshen, P., Sanon, M., Ingram, K. T., Woodin, M., & Hoogenboom, G.  
471 (2008). From accessing to assessing forecasts: An end to end study of participatory  
472 climate forecast dissemination in Burkina Faso (West Africa). *Climatic Change*, 92,  
473 433-460
- 474 Sarpong, B., D., Anyidoho, N., A., (2012). Climate Change and Agricultural Policy Processes in  
475 Ghana. IFPRI working papers, 045.
- 476 Shankar, K.R., Nagasree, K., Venkateswarlu, B., Maraty, P., (2011). Constraints and suggestions  
477 in adopting seasonal climate forecasts by farmers in South India. *Journal of Agricultural*  
478 *Education and Extension*, 17 (2), 153-163



- 479 Stutley, C. (2010). Innovation Insurance Product for the Adaptation to Climate Change  
480 (IIPACC) Project Ghana, Crop Insurance Feasibility Study 2010.
- 481 Traore, B., Corbeels, M., Van-Wijk, M. T., Rufino M. C., & Giller, K. E. (2013). Effects of  
482 climate variability and climate change on crop production in southern Mali. *European*  
483 *Journal of Agronomy*, 49, 115-125. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2013.04.004>
- 484 Zongo B., Diarra A., Barbier B., Zorom M., Yacouba H., & Dogot T. (2016). Farmers'  
485 Perception and Willingness to Pay for Climate Information in Burkina Faso. *Journal of*  
486 *Agricultural Science*, 8 (1)
- 487 Zongo, B., Diarra, A., Barbier, B., Zorom, M., Yacouba, H., & Dogot, T. (2015). Farmers'  
488 practices and willingness to adopt supplemental irrigation in Burkina Faso. *International*  
489 *Journal of Food and Agricultural Economics*, 3(1), 101-117.
- 490
- 491

UNDER PEER REVIEW