

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_46645
Title of the Manuscript:	Effective Evaluation of Students Attainment in Assessment Components for the Unit Taught in Large Classes by using S
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The introduction and conclusion need more description and explanation for better clarity.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wit that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepti Gupta
Department, University & Country	Panjab University Chandigarh, India

SPASS v17

r, correct the manuscript s mandatory that authors

vith reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her