



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_47328
Title of the Manuscript:	CLASSROOM SIZE AS A PREDICTOR OF BULLYING BEHAVIOUR AMONG SECONDARY SCHOOL ADOLESCENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>A few sentences seem fallacious and not clear. e.g. Olweus [7] stated that a person is bullied when he or she is exposed, repeatedly and over time, to negative actions on the part of one or more other persons. <b>Para2: not clear</b></p> <p>Research objectives are not explained explicitly for readers</p> <p>Research objectives are not explained explicitly for readers comprehensibility</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Too much introduction to bullying but little focused to address the research aim.</p> <p>Need to add more literature related to this study relating to socio economic, gender etc.</p> <p>In text citations are not clear too</p> <p>e.g. Craig, Harel-Fisch, Fogel-Grinvald, Dostaler, Hetland, and Simons-Morton [13] <b>Year is missing</b></p> <p>Documentation did not follow APA</p> <p>Eg. Whitney &amp; Smith <b>(APA?)</b></p> <p>Is this right? For instance Greeff and Grobler [47] returned that a percentage of <b>564%</b> of South African students reporting to be bullied.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Abstract need to be rewritten accordingly: Which format??</p> <p>It consists of incomplete sentences e.g. <b>Study design:</b> Cross-sectional survey design</p> <p>Theoretical framework is not clear and has not been tied well with the study</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Nalini Arumugam</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Universiti Teknologi Mara, Malaysia</i></b>