



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_47440
Title of the Manuscript:	Training National and Vocational Women: Characteristics of Curriculum of Female Secondary Education in Colonized Manchuria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Having gone through this paper, I want to say that the topic is very interesting and the author has done a good work but the following comments are necessary in order to enrich the paper. From the abstract, the author should add the problem being investigated, methods of data collection, summary and concluding remarks and remove items not necessary. The introduction is good, but much is not available on Training national and vocational women in the area under study 4 previous studies were identified but gaps in knowledge inherent in each which could have provoked the study were ignored. The introduction lacks organisation, which ought to be the last paragraph. The study could appear better if the author could engage in depth interview It could also be a good idea if the author could include the historical background of the Colonized Manchuria <b>Congratulations!</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The reference format of this journal has not been properly followed. Therefore, the author needs to adjust where necessary.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The paper should be properly edited	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Dare Ojo Omonijo
Department, University & Country	Olabisi Onabanjo University, Nigeria