



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_47351
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of hyperthermia on erythrocyte parameters of carp from Bardaca swamp <i>Cyprinus carpio</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript aims at describing Effects of hyperthermia on erythrocyte parameters of carp from Bardaca swamp <i>Cyprinus carpio</i> (Linnaeus, 1758). Overall, the research objective is clear and the approach is sound and methodical. The manuscripts would benefit from a thorough proof read by a native English as many sentences have incorrect tenses or are lacking clarity.</p> <p>However, the following are some specific comments that should be addressed before publication.</p> <p>Title: Effects of hyperthermia on blood biochemistry of carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>) from Bardaca swamp.</p> <p>Abstract: Abstract lacks clear outcome of the study.</p> <p>Hematological techniques: Blood was drawn directly from heart. Were fish anesthetized and humanely killed before the procedure?</p> <p>Discussion: Please discuss your results in relation to earlier studies that why your findings were different from them, and what was its significance for carp? References should be provided where statement made.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: ..., RBC, MCV, MCH and MCHC. Spell it for first time.</p> <p>The fish were exposed to 28 °C for 30 min.</p> <p>The results showed increase in all parameters except decrease in MCV. Was it significant?</p> <p><i>Significant changes for the number of erythrocyte and hematocrit values were found.</i> Significant in relation to controls?</p> <p><i>The carp shows excellent ability to adjust to temperature variations that can be seen through the analysis of hematological status.</i> How did you conclude it?</p> <p>Abstract lacks concluding statement as given in the title of the article.</p> <p>Few examples of poor English are as below. One of the best studied examples of stress reactions is the one which occurs due to a change in temperature (thermal stress),... After reaching the temperature, the fish were kept under these conditions for 30 minutes. On control and experimental fish were performed direct puncture (using needles of 1 mm, Semikem, B & H) of the heart without anticoagulant in order to collect blood. The largest number of individuals had a body length between 10.50 and 11 cm, and a body mass between 25 and 30 g. Nowadays, the stress reaction in the aquatic habitat is more and more present. Our fish were very young, which can be seen on the basis of their morphometric parameters.</p> <p>Conclusion <i>As an adaptation mechanism in the state of hyperthermia and thermal stress, the number of erythrocytes in carp is primarily increased, which results in elevation in other hematological parameters.</i> How you concluded that increased in erythrocytes lead to increase in other parameters? Any reference or data?</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	I suggest to re-write the manuscript with the help of native or experienced English speaker.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Blood was drawn directly from heart. Were fish anesthetized and humanely killed before the procedure?</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Naveed Yousaf
Department, University & Country	University of Nordland, Norway