



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_47129
Title of the Manuscript:	Learning Persian as a second language: The perspectives and challenges of international medical and allied health science students
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The topic chosen by the author(s) is very interesting, unique and less explored. With some improvements, this paper can be made better and very exciting to the readers.</p> <p>The author(s) should introduce a brief explanation of the rest of the article at the end of section 1 – Introduction.</p> <p>The author(s) did not mention the approach (deductive or inductive) of the data analysis clearly. The analysis should follow (and flow) any of the approach and need to draft the manuscript accordingly.</p> <p>Results and Discussions should be considered in relation to previously published findings. Some more sources should be considered here.</p> <p>In Conclusion, the author(s) need to write a few sentences on directions of future studies</p> <p>In page 10 last part of the first paragraph, there is an error in the sentence “The highest-level International graduates reached with the Persian language classes was”. The verb should be “were”</p> <p>In the reference list, the spacing is not followed correctly in reference 2 that has to be changed accordingly and in reference 12, there is a spelling error – “Batimore”, I hope it has to be Baltimore.</p> <p>There are many studies highlighting the importance of the second language acquisition. In this present study, the author(s) limit the citations. Adding a few more citations to substantiate the present study will definitely improve the quality of the paper.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Hariharasudan
Department, University & Country	Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education, India