



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_48208
Title of the Manuscript:	Subterranean termites of a University environment in Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The Abstract does not contain essence of the current work, significance of the work. Therefore, summary of the entire work should be given by providing these lack information.</p> <p>Specific information should have been given with references in introduction part. There is some lack of references. Information about termites are very general. The transition information should have been given at that point of scope. In introduction, more detailed literature reviews should be given to support aim of the study. Furthermore, objectives of this studies should be stated more clearly.</p> <p>In results-discussion section, the writer gives results; however writer/s should give more detailed data and discuss the results in details. Moreover, the obtained results are not related, or compared to other studies in the literature. Findings should be compared to literature values.</p> <p>Weak points of this study according to its findings should be mentioned clearly, and suggestions for future studies should be given.</p> <p>In conclusion section, results of the study should be summarized and conclusion of whole research should be stated as being light for future studies, and field applications.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Coşkun Köse
Department, University & Country	Istanbul University, Turkey