



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_47247
Title of the Manuscript:	Extraction and Determination of Caffeine Concentrations in Coffee, tea and chocolate milk available in Saudi markets
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The UV spectrum of caffeine should be included.</li> <li>2. The concentration of the standard should be in microgram/ ml not in mg/l.</li> <li>3. Some grammatical errors should be altered.</li> <li>4. The chemical name should be given for the dichloromethane instead of chemical formula.</li> <li>5. The concentration of the sample extract diluted should be within the range of linearity. Hence the procedure for the sample preparation should be mentioned clearly for coffee powder, tea powder and chocolate milk.</li> <li>6. The weight variation in the sample preparation should be clearly explained. How the author choose the weight for the sample preparation.</li> <li>7. The calibration curve should be started from zero.</li> <li>8. The reference for the standard value for caffeine in the sample should be from reference books.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>K. Anandakumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Swamy Vivekanandha College of Pharmacy, India</b>