SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJORR_46700**
Title of the Manuscript:	**OUTCOMES OF PROXIMAL FEMORAL NAIL IN SUBTROCHANTERIC FEMUR FRACTURES"- A case series**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Ethical approval details not specified** **Results:** Descriptive statistics are required. how many patients participated in the study? Lines 110 to 112 are conflicting. Be specific about pain and limp Results in the main manuscript and abstract appear the same. Elaborate findings. **Tables:** Tables & figures should be placed inside the text. Tables and figures should be presented as per their appearance in the text. Tables should be explanatory enough to be understandable without any text reference. Double spacing should be maintained throughout the table, including table headings and footnotes. Table headings should be placed above the table. Footnotes should be placed below the table with superscript lowercase letters. Kindly follow journal guidelines. Table 1 is unclear. its not explained in the text. **Graphs:** need caption. Clear Axis representation with legends. Each figure should have a caption. The caption should be concise and typed separately, not on the figure area. **Discussion:** Do not repeat the findings mentioned in the results. Elaborate on the difference made by PFN with recent advances. **Conclusion:** not mentioned **References:** Follow the journal guidelines. for example: Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98. Reference no.10 is incomplete Update the references to more recent ones. They are not citied in the article. Reference no.1 is followed directly by no.46 with no mention of the other studies, followed by no.49. They are not in order	
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract: Line 18: explain which crutch	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* Ethical approval details not mentioned	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Vijaya Krishnan***
Department, University & Country	***MGM College Of Physiotherapy, India***