



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_47521
Title of the Manuscript:	Surgical management of subtrochanteric fracture with intramedullary nailing in osteopetrosis – A rare case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 33-38 is the replication of the abstract. Modify introduction. There is repetition noted in discussion as well. Line 98- was it femoral segmental shortening – true or apparent	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Was there any other symptoms recorded in the other regions for which the radiological investigations were done?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Ethical approval not mentioned	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vijaya Krishnan
Department, University & Country	MGM College of Physiotherapy, India