



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_46240
Title of the Manuscript:	BAYESIAN ESTIMATION OF THE SCALE PARAMETER OF THE WEIMAL DISTRIBUTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract needs reconstruction, apart from the tenses used in reporting, salient points that should be in an abstract is missing. Keywords should equally be checked.</p> <p>The author(s) should work on the grammatical presentations, such as "In order to achieve the gap above" in line 35, "A list of some of these studies which dependent" in line 36.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Following the statement made by the author(s) "The rest of this paper organized in sections as follows", the author(s) did not state the organization of the remaining part before moving to section 2. . The author failed to cite Software package by R Core team, citation can be obtained in R by typing "citation ()" in R environment. The author(s) also failed to mention the package(s) that used in R to implement the analysis, the author(s) of such package(s) should be cited, and referenced. The use of real-life data would add more value to the paper. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The author(s) have made some efforts to add to existing body of literature by comparing Bayesian and frequentist estimation of Weimal distribution. The author(s) should pay attention to areas where necessary, so as to add more value to the paper. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Olumide Adesina</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Olabisi Onabanjo University, Nigeria</i>