### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_46556
Title of the Manuscript:	Exponentiated Pranav Distribution and Its Applications
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper is mostly a theoretical discussion on the Paranav Distribution.  -There's very little information given on mathematical proofs (given by?)  -Where is the data to back up the hypotheses? All the results and data application are summaries of existing known data and it's hard to see any original contribution.  -There has been no investigation on the impact of the new demonstration properties.  -The list of references highlights that authors use mostly the same reference author. (shanker et al.). Authors should work on this and give a more diversified list of references.  The idea of the paper seems to be interesting. However, authors didn't show the practical need and the real novelty of the proposed generalization. All the results and data application are summaries of existing known data and it's hard to see any original contribution	
Minor REVISION comments	-There are numerous grammatical errors and overall the paper is hard to read and lacks structure.	
Optional/General comments		

# PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Wajih Ezzeddine
Department, University & Country	University of Lorraine, France

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)