SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJPAS_47794**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Measuring the Caesarean Risk Factors in Bangladesh by Using Binary Logistic Regression Model**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Nothing	
Minor REVISION comments	Nothing	
Optional/General comments	I am afraid that the connection between "Methodology" and "Discussion and result" is not described clearly. For example, I am not sure that which statistical calculations used Fisher exact test and which table is the result of this test. I would suggest that in "Discussion and result", explanations such as "binary logistic regression model was fitted to this data; the predictors are ---- and the objective variable is ---" should be added.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Kunio Takezawa***
Department, University & Country	***Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, Japan***