



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>                                  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJPAS_47794   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Measuring the Caesarean Risk Factors in Bangladesh by Using Binary Logistic Regression Model |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-The Abstract should be carefully read, so as to correct grammatical errors. The Abstract contains unnecessary information, particularly at the beginning.</li> <li>-“ <b>Now a days</b> the <b>maximum women</b> are interested in caesarean”. Who are the maximum women? Does the author(s) mean write <b>Nowadays</b>?</li> <li>-Objective of the study should flow with the introduction, except the journal wants it as stated in section 1.2.</li> <li>-Literature review is not expected under Methodology, necessary literature should flow with section 1 (Introduction)., as obtained in Science and Statistics Journals.</li> <li>-The use of Personal Pronoun like, “we”, “our” should be avoided or minimized, as contained in the Methodology.</li> <li>-Section “<b>2.3 Computerization</b>” needs to be re-worded.</li> <li>-The Chi-square formula was stretched which makes it not legible.</li> <li>-Equation were not numbered</li> </ul> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | <p>The structure and the objectives and findings in the study makes it more suitable for social science Journal, except the author(s) makes the paper more attractive than the present state.</p> <p>The author(s) should read the paper carefully, so as to correct grammatical and typographical errors.</p>   |   |



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Olumide Adesina</b>                      |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Olabisi Onabanjo University, Nigeria</b> |