



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_46292
Title of the Manuscript:	Fetal Origin of Adult Disease
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article definitely addresses a very vital issue of the role of fetal impaired growth & development due to non-infectious environmental, nutritional factors on the future possibilities of development of non-communicable chronic diseases in adult life. The paper has been too detail & too elaborate [19 pages is too much in my opinion] for a review article & I suspect it would be difficult to draw the attention of a reader with full concentration. Requesting to take this comment to be considered sincerely.</p> <p>The references should be cited in the text within third bracket rather than first e.g. [3], [24] etc. A list of all the abbreviations at the end of the paper is worth adding. References are relevant and mostly after 2000. Even the conclusion has been too long, should be cut shorter.</p> <p>At the end of the introduction, the principal objective of the authors for carrying out the study should be mentioned.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Informations added to justify the objectives are quiet sufficient.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashrur Rahman Mitul
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh