



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_48134
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MATERNAL BREASTFEEDING ATTITUDES: PREGNANT WOMEN AND FACTORS WHICH AFFECT THE BREASTFEEDING</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Nothing	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	(1) In the last line of "1. Introduction", "which effect the breastfeeding" should be replaced with "which affect the breastfeeding". (2) In the first line of "4. Discussion", "In our study was determined" should be replaced with "In our study it was determined". (3) In the first paragraph in "4. Discussion", "is less than desired. in our study" should be replaced with "is less than desired, in our study".	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In "2.3. Statistical Analysis", you wrote " The data gathered from the groups were compared with the Kruskal-Wallis Test, one-sample T test, Mann-Witney U test and Pearson Correlation Test ". However, in Table 2, nothing is written about which statistical method was applied to each comparison. Addition of explanation on this matter would make this paper more persuasive.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kunio Takezawa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, NARO(NIAES), Japan</b>