



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_45697
Title of the Manuscript:	A 3-year Physiotherapy Management of a case of spinal Poliomyelitis Referred at Three Months Post paralysis
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract: The word" victim" is unethical, we usually prefer using words like a patient with poliomyelitis rather than a victim or a sufferer.</p> <p>In the Introduction: Line 46 , the reference highlighted doesn't match the paper reference style.</p> <p>Table 1 : It is not represented in the text of the manuscript , and in the mean while line 134 mention that table 2 & 3 represent muscle strength , but table 2 represents Diary of Treatment, Expected and Attained Milestone , I guess that their a mistake in the number of tables.</p> <p>Physical therapy Worldwide STOP the usage of galvanic current, we use faradic current instead, as Galvanic causes muscle fibrous. Faradic is more safe</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract : please edit the highlighted sentence</p> <p>In the abstract: Usually we keep it more concise, with word counts from 150 to 250 words.</p> <p>In the introduction : A spelling mistake in line 44 , please check it</p> <p>In the introduction: line 100, please reedit the phrase.</p> <p>In the outcomes : line198 please write their a spelling mistake , please rewrite the number and line207 a spelling mistake , please rewrite</p> <p>In reference : please rewrite reference NO.6</p> <p>In reference : please rewrite reference NO.16</p> <p>In the conclusion : a spelling mistake line 315</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>A very clear introduction, but personally prefers if the historical and epidemiology of polio was mentioned prior the Pathophysiology of the disease.</p> <p>The case representation was perfect</p> <p>Nice work, If the compulsory comments are taken in consideration, the paper will be an great addition to the body of knowledge.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Alaa Noureldeen Kora</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Cairo University, Egypt</i>