



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_46024
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute osteomyelitis of the posterior column of the acetabulum: About a case
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The case is interesitng. The differential diagnosis is Ewing sarcoma. Fortunately, the diagnosis was not Ewing sarcoma. But, the authors shoud have delt with the case with a possibility of Ewing sarcoma. The authors should make clear in strategy of making the dianosis in the case presentation and discussion. Becaseus osteomyelitis and Ewing sarcoma has similar clinical features. Especially, the culture seemed to be negative in the case.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akio Sakamoto
Department, University & Country	Kyoto University, Japan