SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_46024
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute osteomyelitis of the posterior column of the acetabulum: About a case
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline) PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author describes a case of infection of the pelvic region. About the history: there is no information about time of symptoms and history of exercises. About the diagnosis: there is no information about synovial fluid and bone sample; it's not possible to say that was a case of "acute osteomyelitis of the posterior column with soft tissue and reactive arthritis". It could be a case of pyomyositis extending to septic arthritis and osteomyelitis. About the treatment: the antimicrobial regimen should be explained, as well as the no need of surgical procedure. About the discussion: in the first 2 paragraphs the author put together incidence and prognosis (in these paragraphs the text is identical to the conclusion in reference #6) and in the 4 th paragraph there is a misunderstanding about empirical therapy.	
Minor REVISION comments	Figure 4 is not an X-ray. Figure 5 is not an MRI.	
Optional/General comments	In my opinion the author could describe this interesting case focusing the discussion on the routes of infection of the hip and the difficulty of the diagnosis. See: Amari and Yokoi. Pyomyositis extending to septic arthritis. Journal of Pediatric Orthopaedics B 2014, 23:55–58	

PART 2:

			Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus his/her feedback here)
		(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this man	Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	The author does not describe ethical approval from their committee.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pedro Fiorini Puccini
Department, University & Country	Federal University of São Paulo, Brazil

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write