

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Review in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_48035
Title of the Manuscript:	An outline picture of a Growing and Rotating Planck Universe with Emerging Dark Foam
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agree highlight that part in the man his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 This paper discusses an outline picture of growing and rotating Planck universe with emerging dark foam. With refer to Planck scale, Mach's relation, support for large scale cosmic anisotropy and preferred directions, and two new parameters, which are Gamma and Beta, the ordinary matter density ratio, dark matter density ratio, mass, radius, temperature, age and expansion velocity are estimated. Some suggestions about the Planck universe with dark foam are given. Overall, the content of the paper is well discussed. However, the presentation of the paper shall be further improved. Some comments are given as follow. 1. Too many keywords are given, normally, in a research paper, at most FIVE (5) keywords are provided. 2. Does the term "Big Bang" is a special name? If NOT, please write the term "big bang" consistently in the text, do not write "Big Bang" and "big bang" together in the text. 3. In Page 2, see "For the above symbols, subscript 0 denotes", do not see any subscript 0, only subscript t. Please check. 4. The caption for Figures 1, 2, 3 shall be put at the bottom of the figure, not putting at the top of the figure. 5. The caption for Table 1 shall be put at the top of the table, not putting in the table. 6. In Page 7, what does CMBR stand for? Please give the full name before using the acronym. 7. Please write the references in a correct format. Do not understand why many reference articles are in single page. Please check. 	
Minor REVISION comments	 When some examples are mentioned in the text, please do not end with "etc". Please write "Figure 1" in the text rather than using "figure 1". The same comment for Figures 2 and 3. Also, write "Table 1" instead of writing "table 1". This is a research paper, but some sections are written in a point form (1), (2), See Sections 2.4, 4 and 10. It is suggested to write the paper into a paragraph form. There are some grammatical mistakes, please do the correction carefully. 	
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and anuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manus
		his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sie Long Kek
Department, University & Country	Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write