



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_47182
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of Markov Chain analysis in forecasting future farm size in Grasscutter production in Osun State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	-References are too old and thus this study cannot be valid as you do not have new studies and recent literature to justify your study.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	This is really an interesting article and has many aspects that give good contributions. You need to work on the following so this article could be reviewed again: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. References should be updated. You need at least 10 new academic articles to be added and analysed.</li> <li>2. Your research questions should be highlighted and justified better in the introduction.</li> <li>3. Your methodology should have good references and justify why did you select this method.</li> <li>4. What is your population where you selected your sample. The sample was 72 farmers, however, this should be better justified.</li> <li>5. A map for the area could be a good idea.</li> <li>6. Your discussion is still initial and you should add subheading and answer the research questions that you should have been highlighted in your introduction.</li> </ol> The discussion should have been supported from previous studies showing who agree or disagree with you.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<i>Luai Jraisat</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Northampton, UK</i>