



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_43045
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Varying Levels of Citric Acid on semen characteristics and reproductive tract morphometry of Rabbit Bucks
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	GENERAL COMMENTS The manuscript evaluated effects of variable levels of citric acid on semen characteristics and morphometry of the reproductive tract of rabbit pups. The manuscript presents innovation and scientific merit for publication in the Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences, however small changes should be made in the text as suggested below.	
Minor REVISION comments	SPECIFIC COMMENTS 1. TITLE Add in the title information that citric acid has been supplemented to diets of rabbits. EX: SUPPLEMENTATION OF VARIABLE LEVELS OF CITRIC ACID IN THE DIET ON THE CHARACTERISTICS ... 2. SUMMARY Add in the objective of the summary the information that the different levels of citric acid were provided in the diet. This information is not clear. 3. INTRODUCTION Add in the objective at the end of the introduction the information that the different levels of citric acid were provided in the diet of the rabbits. This information is not clear. 4. MATERIALS AND METHODS It is missing from the topic "materials and methods," the approval number of the manuscript on an animal research ethics committee, or a statement that the work was performed in accordance with ethical rules for animal research. 5. RESULTS This topic should be results and discussion and not just results.	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Marlon de Araújo Castelo Branco</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidade Mauricio de Nassau – UNISSAU, Brazil</i>