## SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_46193
Title of the Manuscript:	UNDER PEER REVIEWGROSS AND HISTOPATHOLOGICAL CHANGES IN CHICKENS INFECTED WITH INFECTIOUS BURSAL DISEASE VIRUS (IBDV) IN A FARM IN VOM,PLATEAU STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The objectives refer to the description of the results of these diagnostic tools for elucidation of the outbreak.  I suggest including details of the bacteriological isolation, if you do not want, better not to mention.	
Minor REVISION comments	As mortality was monitored daily, suggest making a table to better demonstrate this date. The imagens are spared with elements of the necroscopic examination, such as wet feathers, cavity liquids, reflection of stainless material, plase exchange for slightly cleaner pictures. Put together imagens on an image board, six pictures. In the picture 3, the appointments b and c, can be reviewed for the lesion pointed out.	
Optional/General comments		

## PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	I suggest insertion of the opinion of some ethics committee, however I believe that in practice the research does not run into ethical issues	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Rao Ane Silva Siquiera
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Campina Grande, Brazil

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)