

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_46271
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF TRAMADOL ON SPERM PROFILE OF MALE ALBINO RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, c and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is n should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Table 1 : - How do the means and SDs differ from each other significantly is not clearly understood, Please mention P values	
	Table 2: - Please mention the P value for ANOVA and clearly indicate intergroup varience as it is not very clear to an average reader	
	Discussion - Line 157 – when was caffeine used during the study ?	
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract: - Line 14 – full form of BW - Line 18 – should be preferably placed before line 16 - Line 25 – the weight of (epididymal weight)	
	 Experimental Design and Procedure Line 71 and 72 are repetitions Line 74 – mention the full form of BW as body weight at first use The method and instrument used to measure the weight is not mentioned 	
	Results - Line 114 – weight of epididymis	
	 Discussion Line 142 - was observed semen pH (was observed in semen pH) Line 145 - tramadol treated animals of could be (of) Line 147 - Ikpeme et al. [25] reported that (Ikpeme et al. [25]; who reported that) 	
Optional/General comments	Can be considered for publication with minor changes	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wit that part in the manuscript. It is ma feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Ismail Khan
Department, University & Country	ESIC Medical College, India

er, is	correct the manuscript mandatory that authors

vith reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her