



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_46785
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Azolla Pinnata Biofertilizer Extract In producing Healthy Tomatoes.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The design is not called Complete Randomized Block design but: Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD).</p> <p>What was the software you used to analyse your data?.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Please, Table 1 and 2 did not have any LSD values attached.</p> <p>Adhere to the referencing style of the journal consistently.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:



SDI Review Form 1.6

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Paul Kweku Tandoh</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana</i>