

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_47534
Title of the Manuscript:	Extract of Icacina trichanta improves lipid profile and CCI4- induced histological changes in Wistar rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:


(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)


PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is a should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It leaves the graphics more objective, to improve the colors and to make clear what means "b" exposed in the graphs, using a legend of more explanatory figure. Histopathology images are compromised. See the possibility of improving the image without compromising the actual result.	
Minor REVISION comments	Why were only males used in the study? Would not it be important to study both sexes? Update the references in the discussion, starting at line 225.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed wi that part in the manuscript. It is m feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	





with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight mandatory that authors should write his/her

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aldilane Gonçalves Da Fonseca
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil