



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_47571
Title of the Manuscript:	Haematinic Effects of Aqueous Extract of Lophira lanceolata Leaves in Phenyhydrazine- induced Haemato-toxicity in Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the title change the toxicity to " Anaemia" and do same with the key words.</p> <p>Separate the materials and methods and results in the abstract. Tell the audience about your methodology.</p> <p>Do not superscript the numbers for the references.</p> <p>The area highlighted in red in the introduction is poorly referenced.</p> <p>2.1.1 What grades were the chemicals?</p> <p>2.1.2, what is the temperature and humidity of the place they were kept? Provide all the information that will aid reproducibility of the study. Which standard feed, who made it?</p> <p>There was no declaration of the humane care adopted in the study.</p> <p>2.2.2, what quantity of distilled water?</p> <p>How long did the study last? Bring it to 2.2.4</p> <p>In the tables remove the words highlighted in red.</p> <p>The words highlighted in yellow are corrected.</p> <p>The area highlighted in red in the discussion is vague and there are no references to align or misalign the present study. It is fundamental to a good discussion. Fix them and improve the readability of the article.</p> <p>The references are not in accordance to SDI authors' guideline. Check the author guideline and do the needful.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Attend to the raised issues above	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>There was no declaration of the humane care adopted in the study</p>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Uchendu, Mbah Okuwa</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Nigeria</i>