SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_46652
Title of the Manuscript:	The Architectural Dynamics of Encapsulated Botnet Detection (EDM)
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
	The paper is well structured and covers an interesting topic.	
	Nevertheless, following suggestions are provided to the authors, in order to improve the quality of the paper.	
	- at the end of the introduction section, authors are required to briefly describe how	
	the remaining of the paper is structured	
	- related work on the topic should be extended, for instance, by mentioning works	
	on the topic focused on mobile botnets (see, e.g., "Mobile Botnets development: issues and solutions. <i>International Journal of Future Computer and</i>	
	Communication, 3(6), 385-390. 2014", "Malware Development on Mobile	
	Environments. In 2016 IEEE 4th International Conference on Future Internet of	
	Things and Cloud Workshops (FiCloudW) (pp. 270-275). IEEE. 2016")	
	- conclusions section should be extended and should briefly summarize the content	
	of the paper - conclusions section should also report future works and extensions on the topic	
Minor REVISION comments	- conclusions section should also report luture works and extensions on the topic	
TE VIOLETT COMMISSING	Authors should evaluate the possibility to remove the acronym in the paper title.	
	Grammar errors are found (e.g. "intoduction", "internet" => the Internet, "related literatureS", "adress"m etc.), hence, authors should proof read the entire paper.	
	Figures display should be enhanced in order to make them more readable (for instance, Fig. 1 texts are not readable, Figs 2,3 are stretched).	
Optional/General comments		
	-	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Enrico Cambiaso
Department, University & Country	Italy

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)